Call to Order
President Mike Jacobs called the Regular Board Meeting of the Shoreline Board of Directors to order in the Board Room of the Administrative Offices at the Shoreline Center at 7:00 p.m. on February 25, 2019, (rescheduled from February 11 due to snow) followed by the flag salute.

Roll Call
Present: Mike Jacobs, President; David Wilson, Vice-President; Heather Fralick, Member; Dick Nicholson, Member; Dick Potter, Member; Soumya Keefe, Student Representative (SC); and Saagar Mehta, Student Representative (SW).

Approval of Minutes
The minutes of the January 14 Study Session and January 28 Regular Board Meeting were approved as submitted.

Adoption of Consent Agenda
The following consent agenda was presented for approval:

a. Adoption of District Instructional Materials Committee (DIMC) Recommendations
b. Approval of Summer 2019 and School Year 2019-2020 School Board Meeting Schedule
c. Approval of 2018-2019 VEBA Memorandum of Understanding with SEIU 925 – Custodians, Grounds and Warehouse Employees
d. Approval of 2018-2019 VEBA Memorandum of Understanding with SEIU 925 – Food Service Employees
e. Approval of 2018-2019 VEBA Memorandum of Understanding with SEIU 925 – Transportation Employees
f. North City Campus Modular Upgrades Project – Approval of Change Order #01 – Williams Scotsman/KCDA
g. Shoreline Center H Wing Upgrade Project – Final Acceptance
h. Approval of Extended Field Trips
i. Approval of Personnel
   1) Certificated
   2) Classified
j. Approval of Payroll and Vouchers

MOTION NO. 19: Mr. Wilson moved that the Board adopt the consent agenda, which is attached hereto and becomes a part hereof. The motion was seconded by Mr. Nicholson and carried unanimously.

As of February 25, 2019, the Board, by a unanimous vote, approved for payment those vouchers described as follows: January Payroll Warrants #446322-446362 and Electronic Transfers in the amount of $11,125,542.85; Reconciliation of Warrants Issued Between January 18 and January 25, 2019 - General Fund Warrants #75383, 75384-75515, 181900723-181900777, and 75552-75605, in the amount of $544,017.69; Capital Projects Fund Warrants #75516-75531, 181900778-181900779, and 75606-75625, in the amount of $3,608,135.47; and Student Bond Fund Warrants #75532-75551, 181900780-181900781, and 75626-75635, in the amount of $59,710.45; for a grand total of $15,337,406.46.

Reports and Presentations
School District Options for Participation in City of Shoreline’s Possible Upgrade of Shoreline Pool
Rebecca L. Miner, Superintendent and Don Dalziel, Director of Athletics, presented.
In response to a request at the January 15, 2019 study session by the Board for staff to meet with City of Shoreline staff on this topic, a meeting was held on January 24, which included the following parties:

- Marla Miller, Deputy Superintendent, Shoreline SD
- Rebecca Miner, Superintendent, Shoreline SD
- Eric Friedli, Director of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services, City of Shoreline
- Debbie Tarry, City Manager, City of Shoreline

At this meeting, the City shared their property needs for the Community and Aquatic Center (CAC) and district staff reviewed the Shoreline Center site as a possibility for meeting those needs. The City’s current CAC proposed location includes 2.3 acres for the facility and 2.3 acres for parking. This could be accommodated at the Shoreline Center in a configuration on the north end of the property (see below); however, it would require some changes to our current facility use.

Considerations for changes to the current property usage would include:

- Removal of the four existing lighted tennis courts and placement of them elsewhere
- Removal of public restrooms
- Probable relocation of some district maintenance buildings
- Extended land lease to 50 years and compensation for the un-depreciated value if removal was required prior to the end of the facility’s useful life

Additionally, in exchange for these property contributions to the City, the District would have the following expectations:

- Priority scheduling
- An eight-lane lap pool
- Expanded (from current design) viewing area
- Separate diving well
- City pays for operating expenses (estimated to be $55,000 annually for these additions)
- City pays maintenance costs
- City pays for District’s cost for relocating maintenance facilities
Mr. Dalziel reviewed the considerations involved for the District’s swim and dive teams. He had met with all four head swim coaches and received input from them. Expanding the pool to eight lanes (as requested for consideration by the Board at the January 15 study session) would allow dive team practice to take place, using two (or three) lanes while swim team practice continued in the other five or six lanes. Or, dive could occur at a different time having no impact on swim practice, but could create an adverse impact on team growth and cohesiveness. Athletes who are dual divers/swimmers double their practice times. Coaches and athletes would need to adapt to something different, which is doable but with some added challenges.

Coaches’ concerns were also shared by Mr. Dalziel, which included the following:
- Coaches prefer full team to practice together; however, without a separate dive tank, dive/swim practice together impacts everyone; rough water for divers and impact on swimmers
- Potential safety issue related to proximity of diving with ongoing swimming (currently not an issue)
- Most likely diving takes three lanes away from swim practice (possibly only two)
- Coaching challenges if dive uses lanes 2 and 3 and swim uses lanes 1 and 4-8. Swim coach is moving from lanes 1 and 4-8; dive coach is talking over top of lane 1
- No separate warm up/cool down pool area like we currently have with movable bulkhead
- Deck and spectator areas are reduced in City’s current CAC design from what we currently have at Shoreline Pool
- City’s current six-lane conceptual design would not allow for swim and dive practice to occur at same time

The coaches provided a wish list to Mr. Dalziel of what would be desired for a competitive swim and dive program for our high schools, using the City’s facility:
- 8-lane swimming pool
- Lane width 8 feet each* (current design references 7 feet lanes, which is also in existing pool)
- Separate dive well
- Additional deck and spectator areas
- Preferred practice times as currently in place
* This item has not yet been discussed with the City as this came up after the January 24 meeting

Next steps include:
- Provide City staff with feedback from the Board about this presentation
- If there is a general sense of interest from the feedback at this meeting, the City would further evaluate use of the Shoreline Center property site from a cost implications standpoint to include paying the District for moving buildings, site improvements, moving tennis courts, etc. as well as a feasibility standpoint (e.g. site analysis and fit)
- City would also study this option from a community vision and public input from public process standpoint
- City anticipates that they would be getting back to District by late March about interest in this option
- At this point, District has only discussed land use and not contribution of capital by the District as per the Board’s preferred option during January 15 study session

Questions from the Board:
1) Are the tennis courts owned by the City? They are on district property but owned and maintained by the City.
2) So there is no other option but to move them to a city-owned site? They could be moved to a park or another site.
3) In looking at the additional parking shown on the map, is there a possibility of including the Spartan Gym in their overall community center concept? The Community and Aquatic Center (CAC) would all be included in the 2.3 acres, which would include their gymnasiums, their walking track and other components, so the City would be relinquishing their lease on the Spartan Gym.
4) **Instead of building the pool where it currently is, could the City keep the Spartan Gym and move everything south, thereby not impacting the tennis courts?** This was not discussed with City staff but there is a much more significant loss of property to the District in the central core of our site which could be an issue if a future school board were to decide to do something else with the Shoreline Center site. This configuration aligns with the City’s park and the A and B fields.

5) **In an eight-lane configuration, would there be a couple lanes allocated for a warm up/cool down area?** This has not been discussed with coaches. In the current six-lane conceptual design and in the alternative eight-lane design, neither have a designated warm up/cool down area.

6) **Is the City aware that the District would need additional time for practices, etc.?** There have not yet been conversations about that but there is an expectation that swim and dive would occur at the same time.

7) **Wasn’t there a separate leisure pool in the City’s design?** Yes, it is in the design.

8) **Was there talk about water polo?** Water polo would need a deeper pool but that would be a City decision.

9) **Regarding the challenges of coaches talking over lanes, is there any option of having an eight-lane pool with a bulkhead separation that creates calmer water and warm up space?** The City does not intend to install a bulkhead due to labor issues and cost ineffectiveness.

10) **Where are the additional deck and spectator areas?** They are not reflected in the drawings provided at this meeting but they were in the materials provided at the January 15 study session. The areas would be elevated. The current design is not larger but the District would request an expanded area.

11) **Do we recall what the City was allocating to procure the property near Aurora?** $23 million for land acquisition.

In summary, if the CAC was to be located on Shoreline Center property, the City would save $23 million for purchase of the land. The District would receive the items on the “wish list” (see page 3), as well as the expectations listed on the bottom of page 2. The City would also be responsible for relocating tennis courts, construction of parking lot and the driveway entering the parking lot.

The Board felt this proposal was good for the community and that the District should proceed in working with the City to make it happen.

**2019-2020 Enrollment Projections**

*Marla S. Miller, Deputy Superintendent, presented.*

Ms. Miller announced that Mr. Spangenberg would not be joining her for this presentation but she gave him full credit for his excellent work on these projections. The enrollment projections for the upcoming school year are based on the most current enrollment information (January 2019), and developed using a weighted formula of the actual enrollment data for the past six (6) school years. The 6-year weighted formula weighs the most recent enrollment more heavily than the oldest data, to ensure recent trends are best reflected in the projection.

Given the enrollment shortfall the District (and other Puget Sound school districts) experienced in 2018-2019, staff reviewed the results of different projection methodologies and recommend using the most conservative result for budget and staffing planning for the coming year.

Enrollment is anticipated to increase by a total of 52 students (0.5% increase) to a total head count of 9,412 students excluding Running Start (no staffing allocated), shared among the grade levels as follows:

- Elementary (grades K-6) decline by 15 students (-0.3%)
- Middle (grades 7-8) increase by 99 students (+7.0%)
- High school (grades 9–12) decline by 32 students (-1.0%)
The results of different enrollment projection methods that were reviewed and considered before continuing to use the 6-year weighted formula were provided to the Board for their information.

Once the kindergarten enrollment period is completed, staff will have a better idea of the numbers for kindergarten. Staffing will not begin until the kindergarten numbers are known. Staffing is 86% of the total budget so the District is careful not to overcommit on staff until solid enrollment counts are in.

Board Requested Discussion

President Jacobs commended district staff and the community for working together during the recent snowstorm. He recognized the hardships involved when schools are closed for that length of time. Difficult decisions were made but they were made in the interest of safety of our students and staff. Thank you to all!

Comments from the Community

The following individuals spoke in support of the District’s direction to staff to work with the City of Shoreline to locate the Community and Aquatic Center at the Shoreline Center:

1) Susie McDowell, Teacher and Swim Coach – What is the plan for period of construction?
2) Nikki Fralick, Kellogg Parent
3) Colin Bell, Syre Student
4) Allie Mae Gallagher, Student and Swimmer
5) Ranie Stroh, Student and Swimmer
6) Carly Lukson, Swimmer
7) Frederick and Henry Anderson, Parkwood and Einstein Students
8) Timony Sherry, Shorewood Swim Captain
9) Owain Fralick, Kellogg Student
10) Evie Hoff, Einstein Student
11) Cameron Bell, Einstein Student
12) Keegan Sherry (Shorewood) and Grace Lindberg (Einstein), Swimmers
13) Hanna Cowan, Shorecrest Swim Captain
14) Ben ?., 12 year-old (no sign-in)

The following individual also spoke:

15) Janet Way, Community Member - Spoke in support of Shoreline Pool and reminded the Board that the Shoreline voters passed a parks bond measure in 2006 to upgrade the tennis courts that are located near the Shoreline Pool. Also expressed concerns about the effects of crumb rubber in artificial turf fields. Shared a sample of runoff from a crumb rubber field.

School Board Reports and Communications

Ms. Keefe stated that there wasn’t much to report due to the snow days. There had been a Ted Talk Assembly scheduled that was postponed and the February student council meeting that was cancelled. The playoffs for girls and boys basketball, wrestling and boys swim all continued with Shorecrest teams winning in districts and advancing to state. AP test registration’s deadline was extended through February 25 due to the snow. Spring sports began earlier in the day.

Mr. Mehta announced that open mic night had been rescheduled to Friday, March 1 at 7:00 p.m. The Black Student Union Assembly will be rescheduled although no date has been determined yet. On Thursday, February 28, ASB candidates will be running for leadership positions for next year. Good luck to those involved in the DECA competitions this week. Thursday (February 28) is the deadline for those registering for AP exams. On March 6, many juniors will be participating in the district-provided SATs.
Minutes – February 25, 2019

March 9 is the Shorewood Booster auction. This day was the beginning of spring sports and also the first day of Chromebook distribution.

Mr. Potter reported that he had been meeting with community members.

Ms. Fralick reiterated Mr. Jacobs’ gratitude for the staff who continued to work during the snow and made efforts to ensure that schools were prepared for students when reopened.

Mr. Nicholson attended a KCDA meeting last week. There has been a shortage in warehouse orders for February due to the snow-related school closures.

Mr. Wilson honed his snow shoveling skills that he learned while living in the northeast part of the United States.

Mr. Jacobs attended a couple of basketball games—one of which was Meadowdale vs. Lynnwood due to a calendar snafu, but the Shorecrest vs. Snohomish game was a wonderful presentation by all involved—teams, bands, etc.

Adjournment: 7:56 p.m.

Attest: March 4, 2019

___________________________________
Michael Jacobs, Board President

Rebecca L. Miner, Secretary
Shoreline Board of Directors

All documents referenced in the minutes may be viewed in the Superintendent’s Office during normal business hours.